# Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
	)
	)
MEXICO MARKETING, LLC	) File No. EB-06-TC-130
d/b/a TravelComm, Inc.	)
d/b/a TravelComm Industries, Inc.	) NAL/Acct. No. 200732170070
d/b/a Canadian Travel	) FRN: 0016560401
d/b/a Patriot Travel	)
d/b/a CancunAllInclusive.net	)
d/b/a Cheapticketscancun	)
d/b/a International Resort Reservations	
d/b/a Cancun Adventures, Inc.	)
	)
Apparent Liability for Forfeiture	)

#### NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE

Adopted: December 26, 2007 Released: December 28, 2007

By the Commission:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this *Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture* ("NAL")<sup>1</sup>, we find that Mexico Marketing, LLC ("Mexico Marketing")<sup>2</sup> apparently willfully or repeatedly violated section 227 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), and the Commission's related rules and orders, by

\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1). The Commission has the authority under this section of the Act to assess a forfeiture against any person who has "willfully or repeatedly failed to comply with any of the provisions of this Act or of any rule, regulation, or order issued by the Commission under this Act ...." See also 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(5) (stating that the Commission has the authority under this section of the Act to assess a forfeiture penalty against any person who does not hold a license, permit, certificate or other authorization issued by the Commission or an applicant for any of those listed instrumentalities so long as such person (A) is first issued a citation of the violation charged; (B) is given a reasonable opportunity for a personal interview with an official of the Commission, at the field office of the Commission nearest to the person's place of residence; and (C) subsequently engages in conduct of the type described in the citation).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> According to publicly available information, Mexico Marketing is also doing business as TravelComm, Inc., TravelComm Industries, Inc., Canadian Travel, Patriot Travel, CancunAllInclusive.net, Cheapticketscancun, International Resort Reservations and Cancun Adventures, Inc. Therefore, all references in this NAL to "Mexico Marketing" encompass Mexico Marketing as well as TravelComm, Inc., TravelComm Industries, Inc., Canadian Travel, Patriot Travel, CancunAllInclusive.net, Cheapticketscancun, International Resort Reservations and Cancun Adventures, Inc. Mexico Marketing has offices at 5895 Carrier Drive, Orlando, FL 32819; 5850 Lakehurst Drive, #280, Orlando, FL 32819; P.O. Box 300245, Casselberry, FL 32730 and 322 W Newell St., Winter Garden, FL 34787. Jerry Decker, President of Sales and Marketing, is listed as the contact person for Mexico Marketing. Dan Hatfield and Rigoberto Sotolongo are listed as Presidents for Mexico Marketing and Peter Sotolongo is the Manager. Accordingly, all references in this NAL to "Mexico Marketing" also encompass the foregoing individuals and all other principals and officers of this entity, as well as the corporate entity itself. The Registered Agent for Mexico Marketing is listed as Dorough, Calzada & Hamner, P.L., 419 North Magnolia Avenue, Orlando, FL 32801.

delivering at least sixty-one unsolicited advertisements to the telephone facsimile machines of at least twenty-five consumers.<sup>3</sup> Based on the facts and circumstances surrounding these apparent violations, we find that Mexico Marketing is apparently liable for a forfeiture in the amount of \$335,000.

#### II. BACKGROUND

- States, or any person outside the United States if the recipient is within the United States . . . to use any telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to send, to a telephone facsimile machine, an unsolicited advertisement." The term "unsolicited advertisement" is defined in the Act and the Commission's rules as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission in writing or otherwise." Under the Commission's rules, an "established business relationship" exception permits a party to deliver a message to a consumer if the sender has an established business relationship with the recipient *and* the sender obtained the number of the facsimile machine through the voluntary communication by the recipient, directly to the sender, within the context of the established business relationship, or through a directory, advertisement, or a site on the Internet to which the recipient voluntarily agreed to make available its facsimile number for public distribution.
- 3. On June 30, 2006, in response to one or more consumer complaints alleging that Mexico Marketing had faxed unsolicited advertisements, the Commission staff issued a citation<sup>8</sup> to Mexico Marketing pursuant to section 503(b)(5) of the Act.<sup>9</sup> The staff cited Mexico Marketing for using a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device, to send unsolicited advertisements to a telephone facsimile machine, in violation of section 227 of the Act and the Commission's related rules and orders. The citation, which the staff served by certified mail, return receipt requested, warned Mexico Marketing, that subsequent violations could result in the imposition of monetary forfeitures of up to \$11,000 per violation, and included a copy of the consumer complaints that formed the basis of the citation.<sup>10</sup> The citation informed Mexico Marketing within thirty (30) days of the date of the citation, it could either

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(3); see also Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Report and Order and Third Order on Reconsideration, 21 FCC Rcd 3787 (2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C); 47 C.F.R. §64.1200(a)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 47 U.S.C. §227(a)(4); 47 C.F.R. §64.1200 (f)(13).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> An "established business relationship" is defined as a prior or existing relationship formed by a voluntary two-way communication "with or without an exchange of consideration, on the basis of an inquiry, application, purchase or transaction by the business or residential subscriber regarding products or services offered by such person or entity, which relationship has not been previously terminated by either party." 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(f)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C); 47 C.F.R. § 64 (a)(3)(i), (ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Citation from Kurt A. Schroeder, Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Consumers Division, Enforcement Bureau, File No. EB-06-TC-130, issued to Mexico Marketing, LLC on June 30, 2006. The Commission staff previously issued a citation for unsolicited facsimile advertising to TravelComm Industries, Inc. on May 19, 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(5) (authorizing the Commission to issue citations to persons who do not hold a license, permit, certificate or other authorization issued by the Commission or an applicant for any of those listed instrumentalities for violations of the Act or of the Commission's rules and orders).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Commission staff mailed the citation to Mexico Marketing, LLC's three Florida addresses: 5895 Carrier Drive, Orlando, FL 32819, P.O. Box 300245, Casselberry, FL 32730 and 322 W. Newell St., Winter Garden, FL 34787. The citation was also sent by regular mail to P.O. Box 300245, Casselberry, FL 32730.

request an interview with Commission staff, or could provide a written statement responding to the citation. Mexico Marketing did not request an interview or otherwise respond to the citation. <sup>11</sup>

- 4. Despite the June 30, 2006 citation's warning that subsequent violations could result in the imposition of monetary forfeitures, we have received additional consumer complaints indicating that Mexico Marketing continued to engage in such conduct after receiving the citation.<sup>12</sup> We base our action here specifically on complaints filed by twenty-five consumers establishing that Mexico Marketing continued to send sixty-one unsolicited advertisements to telephone facsimile machines after the date of the citation.<sup>13</sup>
- 5. Section 503(b) of the Act authorizes the Commission to assess a forfeiture of up to \$11,000 for each violation of the Act or of any rule, regulation, or order issued by the Commission under the Act by a non-common carrier or other entity not specifically designated in section 503 of the Act. In exercising such authority, we are to take into account "the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and such other matters as justice may require."

#### III. DISCUSSION

## A. Violations of the Commission's Rules Restricting Unsolicited Facsimile Advertisements

6. We find that Mexico Marketing apparently violated section 227 of the Act and the Commission's related rules and orders by using a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to send at least sixty-one unsolicited advertisements to the twenty-five consumers identified in the Appendix. This NAL is based on evidence that these consumers received unsolicited fax advertisements from Mexico Marketing *after* the Commission's citation. Each of those facsimile transmissions advertises a vacation package. Further, according to their complaints, the consumers neither had an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Following the issuance of the citation, the Commission continued to receive complaints from multiple consumers alleging that Mexico Marketing faxed unsolicited advertisements to them. These complaints, received after the Commission's citation, resulted in the issuance of a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture against Mexico Marketing on July 31, 2007 in the amount of \$1,133,000. *Mexico Marketing, LLC*, Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, FCC 07-133 (July 31, 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Appendix for a listing of the consumer complaints against Mexico Marketing requesting Commission action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> We note that evidence of additional instances of unlawful conduct by Mexico Marketing may form the basis of subsequent enforcement action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 503(b)(2)(C) provides for forfeitures up to \$10,000 for each violation in cases not covered by subparagraph (A) or (B), which address forfeitures for violations by licensees and common carriers, among others. See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b). In accordance with the inflation adjustment requirements contained in the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, Pub. L. 104-134, Sec. 31001, 110 Stat. 1321, the Commission implemented an increase of the maximum statutory forfeiture under section 503(b)(2)(C) to \$11,000. See 47 C.F.R. §1.80(b)(3); Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules and Adjustment of Forfeiture Maxima to Reflect Inflation, 15 FCC Rcd 18221 (2000); see also Amendment of Section 1.80(b) of the Commission's Rules and Adjustment of Forfeiture Maxima to Reflect Inflation, 19 FCC Rcd 10945 (2004) (this recent amendment of section 1.80(b) to reflect inflation left the forfeiture maximum for this type of violator at \$11,000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(D); The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 17087, 17100-01 para. 27 (1997) (Forfeiture Policy Statement), recon. denied, 15 FCC Rcd 303 (1999).

established business relationship with Mexico Marketing nor gave Mexico Marketing permission to send the facsimile transmissions. The faxes at issue here therefore fall within the definition of an "unsolicited advertisement." Based on the entire record, including the consumer complaints, we conclude that Mexico Marketing apparently violated section 227 of the Act and the Commission's related rules and orders by sending sixty-one unsolicited advertisements to twenty-five consumers' facsimile machines.

#### **B.** Proposed Forfeiture

335,000. Although the *Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement* does not establish a base forfeiture amount for violating the prohibition against using a telephone facsimile machine to send unsolicited advertisements, the Commission has previously considered \$4,500 per unsolicited fax advertisement to be an appropriate base amount. We apply that base amount to each of fifty of the apparent violations. In addition, where the consumer requests that the company stop sending facsimile messages, and the company continues to send them, the Commission has previously considered \$10,000 per unsolicited fax advertisement the appropriate forfeiture for such egregious violations. Here, five consumers specifically requested that Mexico Marketing cease sending facsimiles. Notwithstanding these requests, an additional eleven facsimiles were sent to these consumers. Thus, we apply the \$10,000 amount to each of eleven of the apparent violations. Thus, a total forfeiture of \$335,000 is proposed. Mexico Marketing will have the opportunity to submit evidence and arguments in response to this NAL to show that no forfeiture should be imposed or that some lesser amount should be assessed.

#### IV. CONCLUSION AND ORDERING CLAUSES

- 8. We have determined that Mexico Marketing, LLC apparently violated section 227 of the Act and the Commission's related rules and orders by using a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to send at least fifty-two unsolicited advertisements to the twenty-two consumers identified in the Appendix. We have further determined that Mexico Marketing Industries, Inc. is apparently liable for a forfeiture in the amount of \$335,000.
- 9. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Act, and section 1.80 of the rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.80, 47 U.S.C. § 503(b), that Mexico Marketing, LLC is hereby NOTIFIED of this APPARENT LIABILITY FOR A FORFEITURE in the amount of \$335,000 for willful or repeated violations of section 227(b)(1)(C) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C), sections 64.1200(a)(3) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(3), and the related orders described in

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See, e.g., complaint dated April 2, 2007 from Warren Davis (stating that the "unsolicited junk FAX received at 9:33 AM on Wednesday, March 28, 2007, promoting: "company vacations" on the Mexican Caribbean.; I personally and our company do NOT have an EBR with this caller or company."). All of the complainants involved in this action are listed in the Appendix below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(f)(13) (definition previously at § 64.1200(f)(10)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Get-Aways, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability For Forfeiture, 15 FCC Rcd 1805 (1999); Get-Aways, Inc., Forfeiture Order, 15 FCC Rcd 4843 (2000); see also US Notary, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, 15 Rcd 16999 (2000); US Notary, Inc., Forfeiture Order, 16 FCC Rcd 18398 (2001); Tri-Star Marketing, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability For Forfeiture, 15 FCC Rcd 11295 (2000); Tri-Star Marketing, Inc., Forfeiture Order, 15 FCC Rcd 23198 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See Carolina Liquidators, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, 15 FCC 16,837, 16,842 (2000); 21<sup>st</sup> Century Fax(es) Ltd., AKA 20<sup>th</sup> Century Fax(es), 15 FCC Rcd 24,406, 24,411 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(4)(C): 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(f)(3).

the paragraphs above.

- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, pursuant to section 1.80 of the Commission's rules, 21 within thirty (30) days of the release date of this *Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture*, Mexico Marketing, LLC SHALL PAY the full amount of the proposed forfeiture or SHALL FILE a written statement seeking reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture.
- Payment by check or money order, payable to the order of the "Federal Communications Commission," may be mailed to Forfeiture Collection Section, Finance Branch, Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 358340, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. Payment by overnight mail may be sent to Mellon Client Service Center, 500 Ross Street, Room 670, Pittsburgh, PA 15262-0001, Attn: FCC Module Supervisor. Payment by wire transfer may be made to: ABA Number 043000261, receiving bank Mellon Bank, and account number 911-6229. The payment should note NAL/Acct. No.200732170070.
- The response, if any, must be mailed both to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554, ATTN: Enforcement Bureau – Telecommunications Consumers Division, and to Colleen Heitkamp, Chief, Telecommunications Consumers Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554, and must include the NAL/Acct. No. referenced in the caption.
- The Commission will not consider reducing or canceling a forfeiture in response to a claim of inability to pay unless the petitioner submits: (1) federal tax returns for the most recent threeyear period; (2) financial statements prepared according to generally accepted accounting practices; or (3) some other reliable and objective documentation that accurately reflects the petitioner's current financial status. Any claim of inability to pay must specifically identify the basis for the claim by reference to the financial documentation submitted.
- 14. Requests for payment of the full amount of this Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations Group, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554.<sup>22</sup>
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Notice of Apparent Liability for 15. Forfeiture shall be sent by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested to Mexico Marketing, LLC. Attention: Rigoberto Sotolongo, President, 5895 Carrier Drive, Orlando, FL 32819; 5850 Lakehurst Drive, #280, Orlando, FL 32819; P.O. Box 300245, Casselberry, FL 32730; 322 W Newell St., Winter Garden, FL 34787 and c/o Dorough, Calzada & Hamner, P.L., 419 North Magnolia Avenue, Orlando, FL 32801.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 1.80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 1.1914.

### **APPENDIX**

Complainant received facsimile solicitations	Violation Date(s)
Chris Baranaskas	5/9/07
Janice Bein	3/13/07; 4/3/07; 4/6/07; 4/11/07; 4/16/07
Warren Davis	3/28/07; 4/3/07; 4/9/07; 4/12/07; 4/17/07; 4/20/07;
	4/25/07; 4/30/07; 5/30/07
Kip Descombes	5/3/07; 5/4/07
Mark Duranty	5/1/07; 5/2/07; 5/4/07; 5/9/07
Harold Hallikainen	5/4/07
Chris Kahn	3/12/07
Sara Kober	5/1/07
Geddy Krul	4/11/07
Jon Lehner	3/20/07; 3/26/07; 4/16/07
Sean Mahoney	4/30/07
Caitlin Massey	3/20/07; 3/22/07; 4/16/07; 4/18/07; 4/20/07
Christopher Maxwell	4/11/07
Ron O'Connor II	4/17/07
Chanel Paulson	3/9/07
William Ragsdale	4/30/07
Anne Shephard	5/2/07
Doug Sherrod	3/30/07; 4/2/07; 4/26/07
Bryan Tilden	4/4/07; 4/11/07; 4/16/07; 4/18/07; 4/25/07;
	4/30/07; 5/7/07
Willie Wadley	4/3/07

Complainant received facsimile solicitations	Violation Date(s)
after requesting no more be sent	
Lauren Buijs	4/9/07; 4/10/07; 4/12/07
John R. Burns, Jr.	5/4/07
Michelle Johnson	4/9/07; 4/23/07
Anita Stein	3/26/07; 4/2/07; 4/6/07
Tim Uttomark	3/27/07; 4/12/07